

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/644,606	08/20/2003	Robert A. Contestabile	Y03-020	5991	
7590 07/13/2005			EXAMINER		
Kenneth W. Float			POPE, DARYL C		
The Law Offices of Kenneth W. Float P. O. Box 80790			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	Margarita, CA 92688		2632	<u> </u>	
			DATE MAILED: 07/13/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

RECEIVED OIPE/IAP

AUG 0 2 2005

	F .			S
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/644,606 CONTESTABILE, ROBER		BERT A.
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		DARYL C. POPE	2632	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addres	5S
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION SIGNATION STATEMENT SIGNATION SIG	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a i reply within the statutory minimum of thir riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tatute, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this commu BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.
Status				
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on _	·		
-,	•	This action is non-final.		
3)	Since this application is in condition for allo	owance except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the me	erits is
,—	closed in accordance with the practice und			
Disposit	tion of Claims			
-	Claim(s) <u>1-43</u> is/are pending in the applica	tion.		
7)	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with			
51⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-35,42 and 43</u> is/are allowed.			
• -	Claim(s) <u>36-39</u> is/are rejected.			
· -				
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction as	nd/or election requirement.		
Applicat	tion Papers			
	The specification is objected to by the Exar	miner		
•	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		by the Examiner.	
ات(۱۰	Applicant may not request that any objection to			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co			1.121(d).
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by th			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	eian priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
	) All b) Some * c) None of:	,		
	1.☐ Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have been received.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority docum		Application No	
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the	priority documents have beer	received in this National Sta	age .
	application from the International Bu			
*	See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not	received.	
	·			
Attachme	nt(s)		•	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_

Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_\_.

6) Other: \_

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/644,606

Art Unit: 2632

į -

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

### Claim Objections

- 2. Claim 42 is objected to because of the following informalities:
- 1) Claim 42 line 3, "over" should read -- or --. Appropriate correction is required.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. Claims 36-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hoffman et al in view of Brown et al for the reasons of record as discussed in the previous office action.

### Allowable Subject Matter

- 4. Claims 1-35, and 42-43 are allowed.
- 5. Claims 40-41 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### REMARKS:

### Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments, filed 5/19/2005, with respect to claims 1-35, and 40-42 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection of which has been withdrawn.

Application/Control Number: 10/644,606

Art Unit: 2632

7. Applicant's arguments filed 5/19/2005 with respect to claims 36-39 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant bases arguments with regards to claims 36-39 with respect to arguments discussed in claim 1, but claims 36-39 do not disclose subject matter which places claim 1 in condition for allowance. Specifically, claims 36-39 do not disclose subject matter pertaining to the receiving time-coded position data, and memory for storage of time-coded position data. Rejection of all limitations of claims 36-39 has been disclosed in the art rejection of the previous office action, and the examiner maintains the position as stated in that rejection with respect to claims 36-39. In view of this, applicant's arguments are not deemed persuasive.

#### Conclusion

8. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/644,606

Art Unit: 2632

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DARYL C. POPE whose telephone number is (571) 272-2959. The examiner can normally be reached on M-TH 8:00-6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, DANIEL WU can be reached on (571) 272-2964. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Daryl C. Pope

July 10, 2005

DARYL C POPE Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2632

## **NEW CENTRAL FAX NUMBER**

Effective July 15, 2005

On <u>July 15, 2005</u>, the Central FAX Number will change to **571-273-8300**. This new Central FAX Number is the result of relocating the Central FAX server to the Office's Alexandria, Virginia campus.

Most facsimile-transmitted patent application related correspondence is required to be sent to the Central FAX Number. To give customers time to adjust to the new Central FAX Number, faxes sent to the old number (703-872-9306) will be routed to the new number until September 15, 2005.

After September 15, 2005, the old number will no longer be in service and 571-273-8300 will be the only facsimile number recognized for "centralized delivery".

CENTRALIZED DELIVERY POLICY: For patent related correspondence, hand carry deliveries must be made to the Customer Service Window (now located at the Randolph Building, 401 Dulany Street, Alexandria, VA 22314), and facsimile transmissions must be sent to the Central FAX number, unless an exception applies. For example, if the examiner has rejected claims in a regular U.S. patent application, and the reply to the examiner's Office action is desired to be transmitted by facsimile rather than mailed, the reply must be sent to the Central FAX Number.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE Organizati¶C 260

If Undeliverable Return In Ten Days Alexandria, VA. 22313-1450

P.O. Box 1450

Penalty For Private Use, \$300

Official Business

AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY EMPLOYER



RECEVER 4UG 0 1 2005

FLOA790\* 92681020 1204 GSTEP 20 11 NW STE 20 17-3403

hala file the the the tenter of the tenter o 92688+0739 -07 450